UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,642	11/03/2008	Robert Valentine Kasowski	KAW-103US	9402
Mr. Robert V. K	7590 07/19/201 Kasowski	EXAMINER		
2153 Brinton's l	Bridge Road		ANTHONY, JOSEPH DAVID	
West Chester, PA 19382			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/19/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/579,642	KASOWSKI		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JOSEPH D. ANTHONY	1761		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. lely filed the mailing date of this coorsists (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,	
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ma This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the second sheet (s) including the correction of the original transfer of the second sheet (s) including the correction of the second sheet (s) including the	epted or b) \square objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P	ite		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1761

Election/Restrictions

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-9 and 12, drawn to a method for preparing a composition comprising a flame retardant composition.

Group II, claim(s) 10-11 and 13-15, drawn to a composition comprising a flame retardant composition.

The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, although under PCT Rule 13.2, they share the same or corresponding special technical feature of a *composition that comprises ethyleneamine polyphosphate salts*, said special technical feature does not define a contribution over the prior-art since a composition that comprises ethyleneamine polyphosphate salts are deemed to be anticipated by Von Bonin U.S. Patent Number 4,369,064, see column 2, lines 49-59 and column 7, line 31 to column 8, line 28.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If

Art Unit: 1761

claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof.

Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Prior-Art Cited But Not Applied

Any prior-art reference which is cited on FORM PTO-892 but not applied, is cited only to show the general state of the prior-art at the time of applicant's invention.

Examiner Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Joseph D. Anthony whose telephone number is (571) 272-1117. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The centralized FAX machine number is (571) 273-8300. All other papers received by FAX will be treated as Official communications and cannot be immediately handled by the Examiner.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,642

Art Unit: 1761

/Joseph D. Anthony/ Primary Patent Examiner Art Unit 1761 Page 4